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I. PURPOSE

a. Provide a brief introduction on the socio economical context and the development problems addressed by the programme.

Despite the progress made by Bangladesh in the economic, health, economic and social arenas, improvements in the nutrition status of children and women have been less impressive. Nutritional status is the result of complex interactions between a variety of factors ranging from household food availability and utilization, to health and nutrition practices and availability of services and social issues. A comprehensive approach addressing all of these factors is needed to sustainably improve nutrition status of children and women. Barisal Division, where the Joint Programme (JP) was located, is an area where more than half the population (52%) was found to be poor. Both stunting and underweight prevalence rates were higher in Barisal division than the national average as confirmed by the baseline survey, and were greater than the WHO emergency thresholds for these indicators. According to the programme baseline, almost all children under the age of two years were found to be anemic. The Joint Programme (JP) aimed to address some of the critical factors in improving the nutritional status of children and women.

The JP was designed against the backdrop of the global economic downturn, the resulting volatility in food prices and two natural disasters in the southern part of the county. While there was recognition that renewed efforts on a comprehensive and robust national response to the widespread prevalence of under nutrition were needed, the shape and form of this was still under discussion at the time the joint programme was being designed. Government of Bangladesh’s (GoB) National Nutrition Programme (NNP) had just ended, and efforts were underway to integrate nutrition services into the existing health systems. The Government’s decision to mainstream nutrition was hailed as a forward looking and sustainable approach to addressing under nutrition in the country. Simultaneously, the Country Investment Plan was being developed to prioritize all investments under agriculture, food security and nutrition, and analyse resource gaps in key programme areas across multiple sectors.

The multisectoral approach, proposed by Joint Programme, was also being echoed in global initiatives such as UN REACH (Renewed Efforts to End Child Hunger) and the SUN (Scaling Up Nutrition) movement that were being conceptualized around the same time, and were introduced in Bangladesh.

b. List joint programme outcomes and associated outputs as per the final approved version of the joint programme Document or last agreed revision.

The Joint Programme had five outcomes, of which four were directly related to programme activities at the field. The fifth was a global outcome that served as the bridge between programme activities and National Programmes and Policies. The JP outcomes presented in the proposal were reviewed and the Results Framework was revised in May 2011. The revision was the product of a consultative process that started during the Inception workshop in April 2011, and was followed up with detailed consultations within the UN agencies and the Government of Bangladesh. Revisions were proposed at the Output and Indicator levels.

The five outcomes and the respective outputs in the Revised Results Framework are detailed below.

---


JP Outcome 1: Reduced prevalence of acute malnutrition and underweight in children 6-59 months of age and acute malnutrition in pregnant and lactating women. (Barisal division: Global Acute Malnutrition (GAM) 16.1%, SAM 5.3%)

Output 1.1 Improved access for Severe Acute Malnourished (SAM) children aged 6-59 months to facility and community based management of acute malnutrition (CMAM).

Output 1.2 Improved access for Moderate Acute Malnourished (MAM) children 6-59 to CMAM programmes through supplementary feeding programmes.

Output 1.3 Improved access of Pregnant and Lactating Women (PLW) to programme to enhance their nutritional status.

Output 1.4 Improved knowledge and practice on optimum Infant and Young Child Feeding (IYCF) practices (incl. hygiene, care) and maternal nutrition practices in targeted groups.

Output 1.5 National SAM and Community Management of Acute Malnutrition (CMAM) guidelines and protocols used to provide good quality management of acute malnutrition.

JP Outcome 2: Food Security improved through agriculture, homestead food production and nutrition training

Output 2.1 Improved dietary intake and supplementary household income generation through the promotion and implementation of homestead gardens.

Output 2.2 Improved skills and practices on food production, preservation and consumption in the targeted households.

Output 2.3 Improved access to support for optimal infant and young child feeding (IYCF) practices and increased access and availability to relevant nutrition information for the homestead house hold members.

JP Outcome 3: Improved learning and nutrition awareness through school feeding and school gardening

Output 3.1 Fortified biscuits and essential learning support provided to children in WFP-assisted pre-primary and primary schools.

Output 3.2: Establish demonstration gardens and food based nutrition education in targeted primary schools.

Output 3.3: Mechanism/test developed for assessing students learning of basic nutrition concepts due to their exposure/participation in the gardening.

JP Outcome 4: Prevention and control of iron deficiency anaemia in children aged 6-23 months.

Output 4.1: Improved access to anaemia prevention and control activities for children aged 6-23 months.

JP Outcome 5: Strengthened food security and nutrition information systems for planning, monitoring and programme evaluations.

Output 5.1: Existing or currently developed food security & nutrition monitoring and surveillance systems supported and strengthened.

Output 5.2: Common knowledge/information base of best practices for improved food security and nutrition programmes established.

Output 5.3: Shared knowledge base of historical food security and nutrition survey data established and used.
c. Explain the overall contribution of the joint programme to National Plan and Priorities

The Joint Programme was aligned with several national programmes and priorities. The following sections describe the contribution and alignment with global and national programmes and priorities.

**Millennium Development Goals:** The JP was expected to contribute directly towards the achievement of the following MDGs in Bangladesh:

- MDG 1 - eradicating extreme poverty and hunger,
- MDG 4 - reducing child mortality,

Additionally the programme was also expected to contribute to some extent to the following:

- MDG 2 – universal primary education
- MDG 5 – improving maternal health

**United Nations Development Assistance Framework (UNDAF):** The JP spanned two successive UNDAFs. The JP outcomes were expected to significantly contribute to the UNDAF priorities in both the periods. The JP contributed to the following UNDAF Outcomes:

**UNDAF 2007-2011**
- Improving health and nutrition for a sustainable population
- Survival and development rights of vulnerable groups are ensured within an environmentally sustainable framework
- Social protection and disaster risk reduction

**UNDAF 2012-2016**

The JP will directly contribute to the Pillar 4 Food Security and Nutrition outcome that aims:

- By 2016, the urban and rural poor have adequate food security and nutrition throughout the life cycle
- Social Services for Human Development
- Gender Equality and Women's Advancement

**Alignment with Government Programmes and Priorities**

The Joint Programme was anchored in the country’s development frameworks, including the National Poverty Reduction Strategy, the National Food Policy Plan of Action (2008-2015) and the Country Investment Plan for Agriculture, Food Security and Nutrition (2011-2015). The Joint Programme, designed as a pilot, also served to bridge the gap between the National Nutrition Programme (NNP) which ended in 2010, and the National Nutrition Services (NNS) which was operationalized in 2011, in the programme areas. The Joint Programme is harmonized with the activities planned under the NNS. The Joint Programme was also the first integrated model of the Community Management of Acute Malnutrition (CMAM) approach in the country. The following are some of the key contributions of the Joint Programme to National Priorities:

- The JP has supported the development and approval process for the National guidelines for Community Management of Acute Malnutrition (CMAM). The English version was approved by MoHFW in September 2011 and the process is on-going for the Bangla version.

- The JP has demonstrated a model of multisectoral implementation and coordination involving six ministries and the local administration.
Additionally, the JP has carried out four studies that will contribute to policy dialogue. These are presented under Outcome level achievements.

d. Describe and assess how the programme development partners have jointly contributed to achieve development results

The Joint Programme involved six Ministries, three NGO partners and three UN agencies. The roles and responsibilities of each of the partners were clearly established at the outset. At the national level, the Economic Relations Division (ERD) of the Ministry of Finance coordinated the efforts of the technical GoB agencies. ERD co-chaired the National Steering Committee and the Programme Management Committee. ERD was also responsible for organizing joint missions to the programme areas, establishing technical groups for evaluations and studies and dissemination. The six technical ministries provided programmatic and policy guidance to the programme. At the sub National levels, the Local administration took over the coordination amongst the Government Departments, the UN and the NGO partners.

WFP as the lead agency was involved in all aspects of the programme, and this made it easier to coordinate across sectors. Although all GoB agencies were involved in coordination of the programme from the beginning, their technical involvement was organized according to programme requirements. Of all the Government Agencies, the Institute of Public Health and Nutrition (IPHN), the Department of Agriculture Extension (DAE) and the Ministry of Primary and Mass Education (MoPME), were engaged from the start. Technical inputs from the Department of Fisheries and Livestock (DoFL) were provided later to coincide with the distribution of poultry/livestock to the beneficiaries. The programme strategy to link programme beneficiaries with the GoB’s Vulnerable Group Development (VGD) programme, was operationalize last year to ensure continued support for poor families that would need continued assistance. The Food Policy Monitoring Unit (Ministry of Food) was a key player and guide in identifying knowledge gaps in food security and nutrition information systems.

Technical assistance to the nutrition component of the programme was provided by Save the Children. NGO partners, Muslim Aid UK and Shushilan, were responsible for implementing the activities and maintaining community relations. The UN agencies provided technical expertise, support and guidance for the monitoring of the programme, and for ensuring programme policy linkages. One of the key roles of the UN agencies was to ensure continued alignment of the Joint Programme activities with the National Policies.

The coordinated approach involving implementation, policy dialogue and advocacy was effective due to the clear roles and responsibilities identified at the beginning of the programme. ERD, as a neutral agency was able to draw in technical ministries into the coordination process. This is particularly noteworthy as the funding was not channelled through the government.

II. ASSESSMENT OF JOINT PROGRAMME RESULTS

a. Report on the key outcomes achieved and explain any variance in achieved versus planned results. The narrative should be results oriented to present results and illustrate impacts of the pilot at policy level)

The overarching objective of the joint programme was to “contribute to the reduction of acute malnutrition and underweight prevalence among children 0-59 months and acute malnutrition in pregnant and lactating women and to reduce the proportion of the population that is food insecure (i.e. those with inadequate calorie and nutrient intakes)”.
Monitoring indicates the programme has successfully reduced under nutrition among children 6-59 months of age as demonstrated by changes in prevalence rates of under nutrition. The programme used a dual strategy to prevent under nutrition. The CMAM model managed cases of acute malnutrition at the appropriate levels. Simultaneously, the programme worked intensively to prevent under nutrition. The programme assisted vulnerable families to enhance their capacity to grow and consume vegetables and fruits. The end line survey reveals a fourfold increase in the households in program areas with homestead gardens. Even more importantly, food consumption patterns in the poorest quintile indicate increase in consumption of dairy, eggs and meats. Changes in food consumption patterns indicate that the diets are becoming more diverse and healthier as compared to the baseline. Women in the programme areas had higher knowledge and reported changes in practice related to feeding practices of young children.

The collaborative efforts of the Government, the UN and the NGO partners, prevented massive loss of human lives when the tropical storm “Mahasen”, hit the programme sites only a few days before the outcome survey. The storm caused havoc to livelihoods and natural resources. Persistent rainfall in the days following the storm disrupted supplies. The Food Security and Nutrition assessment carried out by the Food Security Cluster indicates significant reduction in food consumption in the period following the storm. All of these are expected to have an impact on diets during the endline survey.

Children’s enrolment and attendance increased significantly during the programme. Nutrition education and school gardens provided an effective methodology to introduce nutrition concepts in schools. Discussions indicate children are taking these messages home to their families.

The programme was able to demonstrate a greater voice of women in decision making related to food and nutrition in their families. A much higher number of women in the programme areas reported changes in their roles in decision making related to health and nutrition practices for their children. These findings are especially important as women’s empowerment is considered a critical factor in improving nutrition of children, especially in South Asia where men make decisions related to nutrition in the families.

b. In what way do you feel that the capacities developed during the implementation of the joint programme have contributed to the achievement of the outcomes?

The Joint Programme Strategy was designed in a way to strengthen families’ and local institutions’ response to food insecurity and under nutrition among young children, pregnant and lactating women. A systematic capacity strengthening process was developed to assess and enhance current capabilities in the health facilities, among the health and agriculture extension staff, and the partner NGOs on food security and nutrition interventions. Formal trainings were organized, and were followed up by on-the-job support and refresher trainings.

A community learning programme on four thematic areas, involving mothers of young children, pregnant women and men was established. The sessions focused upon causes of under nutrition and the means to prevent under nutrition and micronutrient deficiencies. The awareness created at the family level, supplemented with practical approaches, was a key factor in improving nutritional behavior among women and children. The decrease in prevalence of under nutrition, and low relapse and readmission rates are indicative of changes brought about at the household level to sustain the improvements children’s nutritional status.

The capacity of the service providers was pivotal to the changes witnessed among the children, the women and the families. Not only were these service providers able to stimulate change in these remote areas, they also provide the link for sustainability. More than half of

---

the programme is located in a hard to reach areas with limited infrastructure. In some of these areas there is no electricity, and in others it is available for a fraction of the day. Lack of electricity dramatically reduces access to any audio visual media. In such cases, the Government service providers, and the NGO cadre of workers, are the only sources of information in the communities. These extension workers are the change agents in the communities, and the improvements identified in the final evaluation, are testimonies to their knowledge and capability in bringing about changes. Moreover, the NGO extension workers belong to these communities, and are expected to remain as resources persons.

c. Report on how outputs have contributed to the achievement of the outcomes based on performance indicators and explain any variance in actual versus planned contributions of these outputs. Highlight any institutional and/ or behavioural changes, including capacity development, amongst beneficiaries/right holders.

The Joint Programme put into place screening mechanisms to assess the nutritional status of all children under the age of five and pregnant and lactating women. All of the children and women identified as under nourished were enrolled in the programme for treatment. In addition, the families of these children/women were provided with training and inputs to establish home stead gardens and to increase dietary diversity. The following section presents the changes in the output levels of the programme:

*JP Outcome 1: Reduced prevalence of acute malnutrition and underweight in children 6-59 months of age and acute malnutrition in pregnant and lactating women. (Barisal division: GAM 16.1%, SAM 5.3)*

The Joint Programme identified and treated 693 children with severe acute malnutrition in the communities. SAM corners were established at the Medical College at the Divisional level and at the Upazila Health centres for treatment of children with complications. Children without complications were treated as outpatients. The recovery rate was 81 percent. This figure was higher than the accepted global standard of 75 percent recovery rate. More importantly the default rate was lower than 10 percent.

More than 9600 children with MAM were treated during the programme through 18 Community Clinics that had been provided with technical and material support to identify and treat under nutrition. The recovery rate of 93 percent was more than the accepted standard of 75 percent.

The JP enrolled more than 4200 under nourished Pregnant/Lactating women (PLW) in the components. This number was higher than the one projected in the revised targets. The PLWs were enrolled from the start of the first trimester, and were expected to remain enrolled in the programme until their child was six months of age.

There was a discrepancy between the actual figures and the ones mentioned in the proposal. It is difficult to conclusively state the reasons behind the discrepancy, as the basis of the figures mentioned in the planning documents is not clear. Part of the discrepancy arose from the use of different anthropometric methods for planning and screening. Planning figures are based upon point prevalence data which is subject to seasonal variations. Regular screening overcomes the difference according to seasons.

Even though the figures were revised, it was difficult to predict the rate of change in the prevalence of SAM/MAM, due to early identification and treatment of MAM and the impact of prevention strategies. The actual population size, as found out during screening also varied from the nationally available statistics. Since this was the first time an integrated food security and nutrition programme was being implemented, it was difficult to predict the relative
The effectiveness of the different strategies in reducing prevalence under nutrition. It is assumed that more children were being identified and treated as MAM cases before they deteriorated into the SAM stage, thereby contributing to the lower than planned numbers of SAM cases in the programme areas.

The Joint Programme also organized sessions on prevention of under nutrition for all families with children under the age of five and pregnant women. In total the joint programme reached more than 25,800 participants for these sessions. As a result of this strategy, on an average around two thirds of the families interviewed confirmed participating in the sessions. More than 70 percent of children in the 9-23 month age group, had received the second dose of Vitamin A.

Changes are also visible in the care of pregnant women. The number of women reporting increased food intake during the last pregnancy increased substantively during the programme period. More women in the programme areas reported taking a complete dose of iron and folate in the last pregnancy as compared to the baseline.

JP Outcome 2: Food Security improved through agriculture, homestead food production and nutrition training

This component of the programme provided training and material inputs to the selected households on establishing homestead gardens and improving dietary diversity. The strategies assisted families in cooking techniques and on improving infant and young child feeding practices. By the end of the programme, more than 12,500 families had been trained in homestead gardens. These families were provided with silos for safe grain storage. The programme established 1,410 plant nurseries in the areas.

As a result of the above, and the courtyard sessions and cooking demonstrations organized by the programme, improvements in dietary diversity were becoming visible by the end of the programme. In the programme areas, more respondents reported including eggs/meats and dairy in their diets, as compared to the baseline and the comparison groups.

JP Outcome 3: Improved learning and nutrition awareness through school feeding and school gardening

The Joint programme established a nutrition awareness component in 117 schools. In these schools, all children received a nutritious snack every school day. Both attendance and enrolment increased as a result of the activities organized under this outcome.

As of March 2013, all children in the 117 primary schools received High Energy biscuits. More than 31,000 primary and pre-primary school children received High Energy Biscuits in the programme schools. School gardens were established in the 110 Government primary schools. Nutrition education sessions were conducted for children from senior grades. The end line survey confirms more than 80 percent of children were aware of the importance of a nutritious diet. Children recognized the importance of these sessions. Around 80 percent of the children reported discussing cooking demonstrations carried out in the schools with their families. Most encouragingly, 94 percent children reported preparing a new recipe at home using healthy cooking methods at school.

JP Outcome 4: Prevention and control of iron deficiency anaemia in children aged 6-23 months.

The programme organized all children between the 6-23 month age group to get micro nutrient powder (MNP) supplements. The MNPs assist in reducing the most prevalent micro nutrient deficiencies, especially iron, among the children. In the baseline survey, anaemia was found to be almost universal among the children in this age group. An estimated 12,680
children received regular MNPs from the programme. Mothers were taught to recognize the signs of anaemia, its effect on children’s growth and development, and the means to prevent it. The anaemia assessment carried out by the programme shows a decrease in the prevalence of moderate and severe anaemia among children 6-23 months in the programme areas.

**JP Outcome 5: Strengthened food security and nutrition information systems for planning, monitoring and programme evaluations.**

The Joint Programme undertook three types of activities under this outcome.

i. The first set of activities related to determining the effectiveness of the JP in achieving outcomes. A baseline survey, end line survey and an independent final evaluation were carried out to determine the outcomes of the joint programme interventions.

ii. In order to track the progress of the activities, the JP developed a comprehensive monitoring system to track changes in the communities. A web-based data base was developed to ensure availability and accessibility of the data on all indicators. A qualitative monitoring process was established to review the activities and identify methods of improvement.

iii. Finally, the JP systematically identified technical and policy knowledge gaps during programme implementation. These gaps were discussed with the UN and the GoB and other stakeholders. Studies were designed to address these gaps. Specifically the JP has contributed to the following areas:

- **An analytical exercise of mapping of Food Security and Nutrition Interventions in Bangladesh:** The JP undertook a detailed mapping exercise that identified and located food security and nutrition interventions on 41 variables in all the districts in the country. This database and the report have been handed over to IPHN (responsible for implementing the NNS) and the Food Planning and Monitoring Unit (FPMU) of the Ministry of Food. In addition, the database has been distributed to key implementers at the national level.

- **Comparing Measures of Acute Malnutrition in Bangladesh:** A study that looks at the secondary data collected through the JP and historical food security and nutrition surveillance data to identify the programmatic implications of using different measures. The study brings in international perspective from similar situations to help contextualize the findings. The study will inform the CMAM guidelines on the implications of using different measures.

- **Nutrition Education in Schools-Programme and Policy Implications:** The JP substantiated policy analysis with results from the JP’s Nutrition education in primary schools to identify the implications for scaling up nutrition education in primary schools in the country.

- **Women’s empowerment in a Food Security and Nutrition (FSN) programme-A case study:** This case study was designed primarily to understand the pathways in a FSN programme to women’s empowerment. The study has provided insights into the changes in the women and their families as a result of their participation in the joint programme.

- **Food Security and Nutrition Assessment in Selected Urban Slums of Bangladesh:** The study highlights detailed analysis of urban food insecurity and nutrition and produce maps based on different indicators. This study specifically collected gender segregated information on anthropometric measures.
Lessons learned from Multi Sectoral initiatives: A critical look at two selected programmes in the country.

d. Who are and how have the primary beneficiaries/right holders been engaged in the joint programme implementation? Please disaggregate by relevant category as appropriate for your specific joint programme (e.g. gender, age, etc)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total beneficiaries</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAM and MAM</td>
<td>4,600</td>
<td>5,781</td>
<td>10,381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children 6-23 age</td>
<td>5,963</td>
<td>6,724</td>
<td>12,687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School children</td>
<td>14,901</td>
<td>17,066</td>
<td>31,967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total HHs supported with BCC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25,811</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Joint Programme worked with different categories of beneficiaries. The table above shows the number of beneficiaries reached throughout the life of the programme.

- Children 6-59 months: The programme aimed to reduce under nutrition among children 6-59 months of age and among pregnant and lactating women. According to the programme monitoring reports, almost all children in the 6-59 months of age and PLWs were screened to identify and enroll those under nourished. Severely acutely malnourished children with complications were referred to the health facilities. Families of SAM children without complications received counseling, and participated in cooking demonstration on preparation of nutritious food at home. Children with MAM were provided with nutritional supplements.

- Pregnant and Lactating Women: Under nourished women received nutritional supplements and counseling on care during pregnancy and in the post natal period. Pregnant women were advised to receive iron folate from the community clinics.

- All children in the 6-23 months of age received Micronutrient Powder supplements to address micro nutrient deficiencies.

- Households with an under nourished child in the 6-59 months of age and/or undernourished PLW received food security inputs from the programme.

- School children in the primary schools received High Energy Biscuits (HEB) every school day. Children in Grade IV of the schools also participated in setting up school gardens. Nutrition education sessions were also organized for these children. The joint programme also organized sessions for all families with children under the age of five to prevent malnutrition, infant and young child feeding practices, health and hygiene.

e. Describe and assess how the joint programme and its development partners have addressed issues of social, cultural, political and economic inequalities during the implementation phase of the programme:

   a. To what extent and in which capacities have socially excluded populations been involved throughout this programme?

   The Joint Programme screened and identified under nourished children and pregnant/lactating women from the entire community. Since this was the only
selection criteria, and was based upon objective measurement, the selection process was transparent. The single criterion for inclusion made it easier for the programme to communicate this across the sectors without fear of misinterpretation. Because under nutrition also exists in well to do families, the joint programme involved all families equally. Mass communication methods were used to inform the communities of the programmes. Measurements were repeated at the clinic level to ensure that eligible children/women were enrolled in the programmes.

The Joint programme organized the same activities for all participants regardless of social, economic or cultural factors. Courtyard sessions were organized at a time that all women could participate in the sessions. Individual follow ups were organized for those families that needed additional counselling.

The programme created awareness on the need for screening. The JP conducted several sessions on self-referral to increase the community awareness on need to access screening services provided at the community clinics.

b. Has the programme contributed to increasing the decision making power of excluded groups vis-a-vis policies that affect their lives? Has there been an increase in dialogue and participation of these groups with local and national governments in relation to these policies?

The joint programme created spaces for women to participate in activities that resulted in enhanced knowledge and capacity to influence decision making related to food security and nutrition. The JP linked women with the extension staff of the Agriculture, Poultry and Livestock and Health Departments. The women were provided with contact telephone numbers, and were also showed how to get in touch with these resource persons should there be need. The JP also provided the women and their families with information on availability of seeds and other inputs and on market. All of these were with the view to increase access of disenfranchised communities to available services, and to make them more self-reliant.

To understand the pathways of change, the programme undertook a case study on how participation affected women’s self-esteem and confidence. In the end linesurvey carried out by the programme, significantly higher number of women reported having a greater role in decision making related to health and nutrition practices in their families as compared to the previous years and as compared to women in control areas. These findings corroborate the need to ensure women’s empowerment is included as an explicit objective of programmes focusing on food security and nutrition.

c. Has the programme and it development partners strengthened the organization of citizen and civil society groups so that they are better placed to advocate for their rights? If so how? Please give concrete examples.

The joint programme focussed upon building the capacity of NGO partners in integrated approaches to food security and nutrition. The joint programme was the first initiative using an integrated CMAM model in the communities. The coordination committees provided the platforms for engagement of different sectors. By creating links between the different technical sectors, the joint programme facilitated the appreciation of multi-sectoral approach to nutrition at the local level. The use of under nutrition as a selection criterion, focussed the attention of service providers from different sectors, on under nutrition. The NGOs
employed women workers who worked intensively at the community level to advocate for nutrition for young children, and pregnant and lactating women.

The joint programme created linkages between beneficiaries and service providers to ensure accessibility beyond the life of the programme. Since the joint programme used existing Government facilities, the service from these facilities became more regular and reliable.

d. To what extent has the programme (whether through local or national level interventions) contributed to improving the lives of socially excluded groups?

At least half of the programme beneficiaries lived in extremely hard to reach areas. These remote areas are accessible only through boat, and that too if the weather permits. Transportation is available mostly through privately run launches which are unsafe and unreliable. Communication is limited due to non-availability of regular electricity supply, and remote location. There are very few NGOs working in these areas. At any given time, around a quarter of the positions in the health facilities are vacant. The Joint Programme provided the strategy and the means to link the communities to development opportunities.

The results from the outcome survey indicate improvements in the food consumption patterns among the poorest quintiles. Increased consumption of the eggs/meat and dairy products was reported by this group in the programme areas. Under nutrition as measured by MUAC, decreased in the programme areas. Anecdotal information suggests that due to the joint programme, government facilities became more regular in providing the services in the communities. Uptake of other services from government health facilities also increased due to the programme.

The evaluation also confirms increased attendance in schools thereby increasing the potential of the children to learn. Reduction in anaemia among children is also expected to increase the growth and development potential of young children.

e. Describe the extent of the contribution of the joint programme to the following categories of results:

a. Paris Declaration Principles
   - Leadership of national and local governmental institutions
   - Involvement of CSO and citizens
   - Alignment and harmonization
   - Innovative elements in mutual accountability (justify why these elements are innovative)

The Joint programme was developed as a model, and not a mainstream initiative. The sole purpose was to establish, in the remotest parts of the country a multi sectoral approach, using existing government systems and infrastructure. The model itself had several firsts, including coordination of an initiative that was primarily UN led and supported by the Government. Since the funding did not involve the government systems, there was little scope for enhancing ownership among the government.

Despite this, the joint programme succeeded in creating and sustaining interest of the involved government departments. Coordination was managed by the Economic Relations Division (Ministry of Finance). All meetings were organized in a timely and efficient manner. At the sub national levels, the Upazila Coordination Officer (UCO) (Local Administration) took keen interest in coordination. In areas where the UCO was
deeply engaged and committed the programme did much better, and there was greater transparency in the work carried out.

The Joint Programme reflects the thinking among the donors on the need for a coordinated approach to under nutrition. By using existing systems to create a coordination platform, the programme was able to initiate activities with minimal adjustments at the national or sub national levels.

The hiatus between the ending of the National Nutrition Programme and the startup of the National Nutrition Services, had an impact on the development of linkages between the national government initiatives and the joint programme. At the facility level, the health workers were involved in maintaining the records, and in follow ups. Due to the lack of a Nutrition Information System, there was no clarity on indicators that were to be monitored in the NNS. The NIS is currently under development.

With the exception of the monitoring of the school feeding programme and its impacts which is managed by the Department of Primary and Mass Education, there was little sharing of the monitoring process.

b. Delivering as One

The Joint Programme used existing structures within the agencies to facilitate the implementation of the programme. A Coordination Unit was established within the World Food Programme, to support collaboration, monitoring and reporting. In each of the agencies, focal persons were nominated to assume responsibility for the components.

Some of the factors that assisted in ensuring a coordinated effort were as follows:

- Clarity in roles and responsibilities
- Programme strategy clearly defined
- Monthly coordination meetings at the beginning
- Regular support provided by the UN focal persons
- Establishment of a policy agenda that was based on a common analysis of policy gaps
- Provided opportunities to discuss topics of mutual interest outside the agency mandates

Some of the challenges emerging from the joint programme modality were as follows:

- Differences in operational systems of each of the agencies made synchronization of efforts more difficult.
- Interagency transfer of funds was a complex process that required months of preparation including PMC approvals and organizing the documents necessary for the transfers. Local transfers would have resulted in accountability issues and were not advised by the HQrs of participating agencies.
- The high level of turnover of UN focal points probably had a more significant effect on a joint initiative than it would on a single agency programme. The changes in strategies in one agency had an impact on the components led by other agencies.
- Joint Programme Modalities require time to develop and the time frame needs to be developed accordingly
- The MDG Fund was developed based upon the UNDP systems. In those cases where UNDP was not involved fund transfers were found to be extremely complicated. The JP refused funding from UN Women for a study due to continued challenges.

- Role of Resident Coordinator Office and synergies with other MDG-F joint programmes
The Resident Coordinator’s office played a strategic role, in providing clarifications, organizing the National Steering Committee meetings and workshops in abroad and supporting the reporting process. The RCO was represented in the strategic level discussions. The Resident Coordinator co-chaired the National Steering Committee meetings. The RCO represented in all the PMC meetings and the technical review committee meetings. RCO served as liaison between JP Food Security and Nutrition and the MDG F Secretariat. It provided policy guidance to ensure the JP’s compliance with MDG F requirements and accountability framework. RCO also assisted the Coordination Unit through participating in a number of review panels and transferring funds from AA.

The information exchange with JP VAW was facilitated by RCO especially in the areas of joint monitoring, contextualizing ToR, selection of consultants etc. Though there was limited formal interaction, but informal discussions continued throughout, primarily to seek suggestions and discuss strategies. Discussions to collaborate in one location were initiated. However, the process of adding yet another element was too cumbersome, and the time too short to make any advances.

- Innovative elements in harmonization of procedures and managerial practices (justify why these elements are innovative)

The Joint Programme decided on a phased implementation to allow for the baseline survey to be carried out in a limited, but representative area. This allowed the joint programme activities to begin without having to wait for the completion of a baseline which would have delayed activities by another six months.

The phase implementation also allowed for improvements in strategies in the subsequent phases.

The JP decided to have all cross cutting activities funded through one agency. This prevented the need for fund transfer across agencies for all cross cutting activities.

A combined monitoring data base allowed the programme to be monitored as a whole rather than in parts. This helped in joint reviews and in identifying solutions applicable to the whole rather than each agency specific components.

Joint missions to the programmes were particularly helpful in sensitizing different sectors towards nutrition.

### III. GOOD PRACTICES AND LESSONS LEARNED

a. Report key lessons learned and good practices that would facilitate future joint programme design and implementation

The Joint programme experience has highlighted several lessons on working together that would have an impact on future initiatives. Although the programme design was done jointly, transition of key staff in the agencies led to knowledge gaps, and prevented the new generation of staff from fully appreciating the discussions and deliberations. Transitions in international development are inevitable. However, careful handover and transition strategies might assist in tiding over the period of flux.

Two of the three agencies worked with the same NGO partners. This helped in collaboration, in joint design and implementation at the local levels. Coordination would have been even more effective if all agencies had the same partners.
Determining a joint policy/research agenda (under Outcome 5) was also helpful in coordinating efforts at the national level. Technical focal persons in each of the agencies assisted in ensuring continuity in decision making and providing support to the programme.

One of the critical factors of success of joint initiatives is shared recognition of successes. All missions involving senior leaders and donors involved representation from all agencies.

b. Report on any innovative development approaches as a result of joint programme implementation

The decision of the JP to focus activities in the poorest and hard to reach areas, and to work with the same beneficiaries resulted in convergence of efforts. This approach, involving six Government Ministries and three UN agencies at the regional and beneficiary levels, assisted in creating a multi-sectoral approach without any structural and systemic changes.

The Joint Programme was the first instance of an integrated CMAM model, and therefore has several experiences to contribute in management of under nutrition in the country.

Due to changes in the policy, the JP had to use locally available recipes for treatment of SAM. This change in treatment approach has provided several insights into the effectiveness of using locally available foods for treating SAM in a non-emergency section.

The JP used well defined strategies to make visible the role of the women in decision making regarding food security and nutrition in their families. By clarifying the pathways of changes in women’s roles, the JP has provided the evidence needed by the programme to integrate women’s empowerment as an explicit outcome.

c. Indicate key constraints including delays (if any) during programme implementation

a. Internal to the joint programme

The time frame for the programme was unrealistic to begin with. The activities were planned to begin almost immediately after approval for funding. This immediate start up might be applicable if the funds are for an on-going activity. In those cases where new initiatives are to be set up, adequate time for preparatory work is needed.

Better appreciation of government policies would have helped in ensuring planned activities were well aligned. As key activities such as baseline survey were not included in the budget, revisions had to be undertaken at the start to ensure fund availability for the baseline survey.

Although the planning expected all activities under all outcomes to begin simultaneously, this was not possible. The UN agencies also have different operational modalities, which affect coordination and synchronization of efforts.

b. External to the joint programme

There were two major factors in the external environment that affected the joint programme.

The geographical location makes programme areas particularly vulnerable to natural disasters. Localized storms and floods had an impact on the beneficiaries, especially in one remote island community. Families had to constantly deal with the aftermath of the storms. Homestead gardens were constantly being washed away, and poultry and livestock were destroyed. “Mahasen”, a tropical storm in May 2013, just before the final evaluation, caused massive destruction in the programme areas.

At the country level, the deterioration in the political situation in the country, especially during the last six months, affected programme activities at local and national levels. Almost three to four months of intermittent strikes imposed by the opposition, hampered planned activities. Consultations planned at the national levels were delayed for several months. These activities were key to the studies the Joint programme was engaged in. Events, including the Programme Management
Committee meetings, had to be constantly rescheduled. The delays have prevented the Joint programme in engaging the Government in key policy related topics. The strikes prevented regular monitoring and participating in regular meetings of the programme.

c. Main mitigation actions implemented to overcome these constraints
   The UN offices used alternative modalities to operate during the strikes. This ensured that all preparatory work was completed on time. However it had an impact on communication and coordination. Better use of internet communication modalities, online meetings helped in diminishing the impact of the strikes. The events were rescheduled whenever possible, but due to the shortage of time the joint programme was unable to optimally leverage these for advocacy.

   At the ground level, the NGO partners continued their activities as planned. Monitoring visits were reorganized whenever possible.

d. Describe and assess how the monitoring and evaluation function has contributed to the:
   a. Improvement in programme management and the attainment of development results
      The Results framework of the programme was revised to ensure that indicators were relevant and sensitive. This provided the basis for developing a monitoring framework which would provide quantitative and qualitative information on the expected outcomes. The joint programme developed a combined output monitoring system that allowed the NGO partners to upload data directly on a web-based database. This assisted in reducing the time gaps in the submission and data entry steps. It also assisted in ensuring availability of data for all at any given time. The system assisted in a comprehensive monitoring of all programme outcomes. The data was available to all for review and analysis. The Joint Programme organized workshops for field staff to review and analyse the data and determine how best they would use it for their own work.

      The analysis assisted the programme in understanding the key factors related to recovery rates, duration of recovery and relapse rates. All of these provided critical information on quality of interventions and their relevance. Relapse cases were tracked to identify the causes and to find ways through which children could be better supported by the programme. The programme was also able to track the impact of changes in government policies on the ban of use of Ready to Use Therapeutic Foods (RUTF) for treatment of Severe Acute Malnourished children, by reviewing before and after recovery rates and duration of treatment.

      The analysis also led to several programmatic decisions, such as expansion of the programme area to include additional locations. The information from the monitoring system also assisted in reviewing and readjusting commodity requirements and fund reallocation.

      The JP presented the findings at sub national and national levels through programme committees established to support the joint programme.

   b. Improvement in transparency and mutual accountability
      There were two steps taken to increase transparency, one was the presentation of the findings at the national and sub national levels. This ensured accountability of the JP to stakeholders, both Government and NGOs. The regular scrutiny of the information by the coordination committees assisted in improving the response to the suggestions being provided thereby improving accountability of the results. The JP organized three joint missions to the programme areas. These joint missions raised points on
coordination and collaboration across sectors and were responded to in the subsequent period.

c. Increasing national capacities and procedures in M&E and data

The Joint programme benefitted from the guidance received from FPMU and IPHN on determining the scope of outcome 5. The data collected from the programme, especially the mapping exercise and the urban food security study will be included in a common repository for national and sub national use. The Joint Programme provided technical assistance to the NNS on the establishment of Nutrition information system (NIS) This included technical support to Health Management Information System (HMIS) on reporting on Direct Nutrition Indicators, development of training guidelines and data entry guidelines and tools for web base reporting.

d. To what extent was the mid-term evaluation process useful to the joint programme?

The midterm assessment was timely as the programme had just completed a year’s implementation. Overall the MTE was helpful in validating the programme’s experience. The dialogical approach adopted by the Consultant assisted in focussing upon key areas of concern related to implementation. It would have been more helpful to have had the joint programme reviewed from the technical lens, rather than from a generalist’s point of view.

e. Describe and assess how the communication and advocacy functions have contributed to the:

a. Improve the sustainability of the joint programme

The joint programme communication and advocacy strategy was designed for all levels of the programme, primarily to contribute towards sustainability. The JP focussed upon creating a critical mass at the community level to introduce and sustain improved practices related to nutrition. A combination of mass communication and focussed group methodologies were used to enhance awareness. These were supplemented with inter-personal communication with vulnerable members of the community.

The JP organized three joint missions to the programme areas to inform and advocate for multi sectorial approaches to nutrition. In addition, several high level missions were organized to the programme areas to high light the need for coordination across sectors. The Joint Programme engaged stakeholders and partners in formal and informal discussions on combined approaches in improving nutrition in the country. All of these methods have assisted in the underscoring the need for strategies that combine food security and nutrition.

b. Improve the opportunities for scaling up or replication of the joint programme or any of its components

The joint programme’s success in improving the nutritional status of children in the programme areas in a really short span of time has enhanced the commitment among the donors to use similar combinations in other areas. An expanded initiative, building upon the strategic framework of the joint programme is being developed under the UNDAF Pillar on Food Security and Nutrition. FAO and UNICEF have already started a similar process in other locations in Southern Bangladesh.

c. Providing information to beneficiaries/right holders

The communication strategy focussed upon three aspects:

- Providing the information to the beneficiaries on the services available from government departments/facilities. Mass media, interpersonal communications were critical elements of the strategy. All community clinics displayed citizen’s charter which described the services available from the clinics and the responsibility of the clinics.
• Health and Nutrition messages; Regular fortnightly courtyard sessions were conducted on pre-determined topics. Practical demonstrations were organized in the communities/schools to demonstrate cooking techniques and new improved recipes. Homestead gardens and school gardens provided the platforms for introducing the role of vegetables and fruits in diets.

• Counselling: Vulnerable families received special attention from the Community Nutrition Workers. These families were visited on a regular basis to assist them in receiving services from the government and to introduce new practices at home.

f. Please report on scalability of the joint programme and/or any of its components

a. To what extend has the joint programme assessed and systematized development results with the intention to use as evidence for replication or scaling up the joint programme or any of its components?

The Joint Programme has communicated results from monitoring exercises to convey the changes in the communities to stakeholders. The lessons learned from the joint programme are already being used to inform the development of a new joint initiative under the UNDAF Pillar 4. At the same time, the JP is also working with other agencies to incorporate key strategic elements for other food security and nutrition initiatives. The JP has also engaged systematically in discussions with the development partners to continue the discussion on multi sectoral approaches to nutrition in Bangladesh.

The UN agencies will organize an event to inform the development community of the key learnings emerging from the JP and to develop a way forward.

b. Describe example, if any, of replication or scaling up that are being undertaken

UNICEF, FAO and WFP are working on an initiative that will scale up the interventions through the government structures and systems. This initiative plans on including all the critical elements of the joint programmes and will determine the process of expansion through technical support to participating government ministries and departments. The coordination mechanisms used in the JP are providing key lessons on how the multiple agencies can be brought together effectively and efficiently to address the issues of chronic and acute under nutrition.

In addition all three agencies have plans of continuing activities in the same areas.

c. Describe the joint programme exit strategy and assess how it has improved the sustainability of the joint program

The JP had two strategies operating simultaneously to facilitate exit. The first was to build capacity of government service providers in health, education, agriculture and fisheries and livestock sectors. The JP categorized health facilities according to capacity and ensured support was being provided where it was needed the most.

Secondly, the JP created linkages with the national programmes wherever possible throughout the life of the programme. Eligible women were linked to the Government’s safety nets programme. Programmatically, linkages are being developed with the National Nutrition Services. The JP has already requested the Institute of Public Health and Nutrition to include the areas under the NNS. These steps will assist in sustaining the efforts at the local and individual levels. The DLS and DAE will also integrate common and core nutrition messages in their extension services so as to
enhance the consumption of a range of commonly grown or available foods to improve diets and nutrition of the households, women and children.

In the last six months the JP has focussed upon addressing technical and policy knowledge gaps. The findings from the research work will ensure JP’s contribution in these specific areas and assist the next generation of programmes.

IV. FINANCIAL STATUS OF THE JOINT PROGRAMME

a. Provide a final financial status of the joint programme in the following categories:
1. Total Approved Budget 2. Total Budget Transferred 3. Total Budget Committed 4. Total Budget Disbursed

b. Explain any outstanding balance or variances with the original budget

At a glance MDG-F total budget summary:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Approved budget</th>
<th>Total Amount of Transferred To Date</th>
<th>Total Budget Committed To Date*</th>
<th>Total Budget Disbursed To Date*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNICEF</td>
<td>1,818,575.00</td>
<td>1,818,575.00 **</td>
<td>1,817,316.80</td>
<td>1,698,381.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>2,725,498.00</td>
<td>2,725,498.00 **</td>
<td>2,725,498.00</td>
<td>2,697,236.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFP</td>
<td>3,440,800.00</td>
<td>3,440,800.00 **</td>
<td>3,414,888.28</td>
<td>2,855,605.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7,984,873.00</td>
<td>7,984,873.00 **</td>
<td>7,957,703.08</td>
<td>7,251,223.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This amount will be confirmed after the financial closure of the project by the respective UN agencies regulation

** Total budget for each agency has been revised. The figures presented here reflects the fund transfer of US$186,000 from WFP and UNICEF, and US$ 250,000 from UNICEF to FAO

V. OTHER COMMENTS AND/OR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
By signing, Participating United Nations Organizations (PUNO) certify that the project has been operationally completed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PUNO</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WFP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNICEF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VII. ANNEXES

The following are attached in a separate file

1. List of all document/studies produced by the joint programme
2. List all communication products created by the joint programme
3. Minutes of the final review meeting of the Programme Management Committee and National Steering Committee
4. Final Evaluation Report
5. M&E framework with update final values of indicators