

China

Improvement Plan

Thematic window: Environment and Climate Change

**Programme Title: China Joint Programme on Environment
and Climate Change**

Evaluation Recommendation No. 1: It is recommended to assess the financial status of the programme during the last quarter of 2010 and, if needed, re-allocate available funds to activities to be implemented before May 2011

Response from the Joint Programme Management:

An assessment of this nature was conducted over the period February–March 2010, where the UN PMC Co-Chair met individually each UN Head of Agency along with working level focal points. Results were discussed at the PMC meeting in March 2010 and at that point no surplus funds were identified. However, the process will be repeated. An additional financial report will be requested for the period ending Q3 2010 to assess if there will be any surplus funds, and if so to evaluate where these funds could be usefully reallocated to in the CCPF to be able to create further results by the end of the programme.

Key actions	Time frame	Person responsible	Follow-up		Secretariat	
			Comments	Status	Comments	Status
1.1 Working level focal points to submit financial reports to PMO	Q3 2010	Working level focal points				
1.2 Criteria developed to determine where to reallocate any available funds	Q3 2010	PMO				
1.3 Results of 1.1 and 1.2 shared at PMC	Q3 2010	Full PMC				
1.4 Calls for proposals sent to all working level focal points	Oct-10	PMC Co-chairs				
1.5 Proposals prepared and submitted	Oct-10	Agencies and counterparts				
1.5 Proposals reviewed against criteria (see also Recom. 5)	Oct-10	PMO				
1.6 Results of 1.5 shared at PMC, PMC agrees on those proposals which would obtain available funds	Nov-10	Full PMC				
1.7 Recommendations of PMC reviewed by NSC and where approved forwarded to MDGF-Sec't	Nov-10	NSC				
1.8 Recommendations forwarded by NSC reviewed and ???	Dec-10	Secretariat				

Evaluation Recommendation No. 2: It is recommended to produce yearly financial statements as part of the annual progress reports. These statements should indicate the actual disbursements for the reporting period, the cumulative disbursements, the commitments and the remaining budget. This information should be produced by output and by agency.

Response from the Joint Programme Management:

The Secretariat seems to have already responded to this recommendation as it was noted that the latest monitoring report template now requests information on financial disbursement. CCPF will continue to provide information on commitment and disbursement on 6-monthly basis as requested.

Key actions	Time frame	Person responsible	Follow-up		Secretariat	
			Comments	Status	Comments	Status
2.1 N/a	N/a	N/a		Done		

Evaluation Recommendation No. 3 It is recommended to communicate the closing procedures of the CCPF joint programme to all partners; particularly the fact that all disbursements should be made before the closing date in May 2011.

Response from the Joint Programme Management

This was done immediately after MTE

Key actions	Time frame	Person responsible	Follow-up		Secretariat	
			Comments	Status	Comments	Status
3.1 N/a	N/a	N/a		Done		

Evaluation Recommendation No. 4: Understanding the existing closing procedures, it is recommended for the MDG-F Secretariat and the PMO to keep some flexibility near the end of the programme to be able to pay financial commitments made prior to the closing date during a few weeks following the closing of the programme.

Response from the Joint Programme Management:

This recommendation was brought up at UNTGCCE core group meeting in July 2010 immediately after MTE and all implementers will be reminded again at upcoming PMC meeting.

Key actions	Time frame	Person responsible	Follow-up		Secretariat	
			Comments	Status	Comments	Status
4.1 Make recommendation to focal points at next PMC meeting	Q3 2010	PMC Co-Chairs/PMO				
Evaluation Recommendation No. 5: In the event that extra funds are available, it is recommended to allocate them to extra CCPF activities in line with activities implemented to date.						
Response from the Joint Programme Management: Merged with response to Recommendation 1						
Key actions	Time frame	Person responsible	Follow-up		Secretariat	
			Comments	Status	Comments	Status
Evaluation Recommendation No. 6: The CCPF joint programme has produced much information to date; it is recommended to assemble an electronic body of knowledge (CD, web site, etc.) and disseminate/share this information globally.						
Response from the Joint Programme Management: PMO has been collecting final drafts of all publications since year I and aims to produce to a CD to be disseminated at CCPF Forum.						
Key actions	Time frame	Person responsible	Follow-up		Secretariat	
			Comments	Status	Comments	Status
5.1 Collect digital versions of all publications	Q3 2010 – Q2 2011	PMO		Ongoing		
Evaluation Recommendation No. 7: The Evaluator supports the plan to organize a High-Level Adaptation Symposium before COP-16 and a CCPF Forum at the end of the programme (May 2011) to showcase CCPF achievements.						
Response from the Joint Programme Management: A concept note has already been drafted and is currently being revised by programme management. This will then be shared at PMC.						
Key actions	Time frame	Person responsible	Follow-up		Secretariat	
			Comments	Status	Comments	Status
7.1 Draw up concept note and share with PMC	Q3 2010	PMC Co-Chairs and PMO	Concept noted already	Ongoing		
Evaluation Recommendation No. 8: It is recommended for the CCPF joint programme to participate to UNFCCC COP-16 under the leadership of NDRC.						
Response from the Joint Programme Management: This will be followed up with NDRC, to decide upon the best modalities for participation in COP-16						
Key actions	Time frame	Person responsible	Follow-up		Secretariat	
			Comments	Status	Comments	Status
8.1 Draw up action plan and share with PMC	Q3 2010	NDRC				
Evaluation Recommendation No. 9: It is recommended to present the major CCPF findings and achievements to GEF constituents and possibly to other related international bodies such as the UNFCCC Subsidiary bodies.						
Response from the Joint Programme Management: A proposal will be submitted for PMC's approval for what international bodies should be targeted and how the findings/achievements of the CCPF should be presented						
Key actions	Time frame	Person responsible	Follow-up		Secretariat	
			Comments	Status	Comments	Status
9.1 Prepare proposal for submission to PMC	Q3 2010	PMO				
9.2 PMO decides on the basis of the proposal	Q3 2010	PMC				
9.3 PMO acts on the PMC's decision	Q3 2010-Q1 2011	PMO				
Evaluation Recommendation No.10: It is recommended to make appropriate indicators included in the monitoring framework gender sensitive; that is to gather information about these indicators that would provide gender disaggregated information.						

Response from the Joint Programme Management: UNTGCCE and UNTGG held a joint TG meeting exchanging information on mainstreaming of gender into climate change and UN Agencies were requested to try to provide gender disaggregated information in their research wherever possible (note: it was understood that in some instances where work was already at a very advanced stage it might not be possible to do this retrospectively). Additionally, implementers are now providing gender disaggregated information as required by MDG-F in the latest monitoring report format.						
Key actions	Time frame	Person responsible	Follow-up		Secretariat	
			Comments	Status	Comments	Status
10.1 N/a	N/a	N/a				
Evaluation Recommendation No. 11: It is recommended to review key programme deliverables and for those that are not published yet, ensure that they are gender sensitive; particularly information products such as training manuals, local operational plans, etc.						
Response from the Joint Programme Management: As above.						
Key actions	Time frame	Person responsible	Follow-up		Secretariat	
			Comments	Status	Comments	Status
11.1 Review all deliverables to ensure they are gender sensitive	Q3 2010	All working-level focal points				
11.2 Report back to PMC	Q3 2010	PMC, PMO and all working-level focal points				
Evaluation Recommendation No. 12: It is recommended that before the closure of the CCPF joint programme, each agency produces an end of programme report with its counterpart organization(s); including initial strategies to move forward with CCPF achievements.						
Response from the Joint Programme Management: The PMC will take the necessary action to implement this recommendation						
Key actions	Time frame	Person responsible	Follow-up		Secretariat	
			Comments	Status	Comments	Status
12.1 Prepare end of programme report	Q2 2011	Working-level focal points				
12.2 All agencies to present at PMC in 2011	Q2 2011	PMC, PMO and all working-level focal points				
Evaluation Recommendation No. 13: It is recommended that the final evaluation of the CCPF joint programme focus on the long-term sustainability and particularly on the replicability and/or scaling-up of the programme achievements.						
Response from the Joint Programme Management: We understand from Secretariat that there will be no final evaluation. However, the programme management will encourage implementers to share their work in-progress on replicating and scaling-up results at upcoming PMC meeting. Results could then be incorporated into the end of programme report suggested in recommendation 12.						
Key actions	Time frame	Person responsible	Follow-up		Secretariat	
			Comments	Status	Comments	Status
13.1 Discuss at PMC meeting	Q3 2010	Full PMC				
Evaluation Recommendation No. 14: It is recommended that in-kind contributions by national partners and UN agencies be further analyzed and documented during the final evaluation of the CCPF joint programme.						
Response from the Joint Programme Management: It was agreed that this would be an interesting exercise for all Joint Programmes to work on and was discussed at JPCs meeting in July 2010. It was agreed that a common template would be used across joint programmes to analyze transaction costs/ in-kind contributions and added value.						
Key actions	Time frame	Person responsible	Follow-up		Secretariat	
			Comments	Status	Comments	Status
14.1 Discuss coordinated approach across UN China JPs	Q2 2010	PMO	JPCs meeting held in July 2010.			

14.2 Distribute template	Q3 2010	RCO				
Evaluation Recommendation No. 15: It is recommended to review the list of performance indicators to monitor the progress of the CCPF joint programme as per the proposed list of indicators presented in the report.						
Response from the Joint Programme Management: The PMC will take the necessary action to implement this recommendation						
Key actions	Time frame	Person responsible	Follow-up		Secretariat	
			Comments	Status	Comments	Status
15.1 Share at routine UNTGCCE meeting	Jul-10	UN PMC Co-Chair	All participants expressed full support for this idea at the core group meeting.			
15.2 Discuss at PMC	Q3 2010	PMC/PMO				
15.3 If approved at PMC level, make request to Secretariat that new monitoring framework take effect immediately	Q3 2010	Full PMC				